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MEMORANDUM FOR: The Honorable Alejandro Mayorkas
Secretary
Department of Homeland Security

FROM: Glenn Sklar
Principal Deputy Inspector General

SUBJECT: Independent Auditors’ Report on DHS’ FY 2021
Financial Statements and Internal Control over
Financial Reporting

The attached report presents the results of an integrated audit of the
Department of Homeland Security’s (DHS’) consolidated financial statements
for fiscal years 2021 and 2020 and internal control over financial reporting as
of September 30, 2021. This audit is required by the Chief Financial Officers
Act of 1990, as amended by the Department of Homeland Security Financial
Accountability Act (October 16, 2004).

We contracted with the independent public accounting firm KPMG LLP (KPMG)
to conduct the audit. The contract required that the audit be performed in
accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards, Office
of Management and Budget audit guidance, and the GAO/CIGIE Financial
Audit Manual.

The Department continued to improve its financial management in FY 2021
and achieved an unmodified (clean) opinion on all financial statements.
However, KPMG issued an adverse opinion on DHS’ internal control over
financial reporting because of material weaknesses in internal control. KPMG
identified material weaknesses in internal control in two areas and significant
deficiencies in four areas. KPMG also reported two instances of noncompliance
with laws or regulations.

Below are the areas in which KPMG identified material weaknesses, significant
deficiencies, and laws or regulations with which KPMG identified
noncompliance.
Material Weaknesses in Internal Control

- Information Technology Controls and Information Systems
- Financial Reporting

Significant Deficiencies in Internal Control

- Custodial Activities: Drawbacks and Seized and Forfeited Property
- Grants Management and Other Needs Assistance Programs
- Insurance Liabilities
- Journal Entries

Noncompliance with Laws or Regulations

- Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act of 1982 (FMFIA)
- Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (FFMIA)

Moving DHS’ Financial Management Forward

This past fiscal year, the Department continued its commitment to developing and monitoring corrective actions to strengthen its internal controls and remediate remaining challenges. Looking forward, to sustain a clean opinion on its financial statements and obtain a clean opinion on its internal control over financial reporting, the Department must continue remediation efforts and stay focused.

*****

KPMG is responsible for the attached Independent Auditors’ Report dated November 12, 2021, and the conclusions expressed in the report. We do not express opinions on DHS’ financial statements or internal control over financial reporting or conclusions on compliance and other matters.

Consistent with our responsibility under the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, we will provide copies of our report to congressional committees with oversight and appropriation responsibility over the Department of Homeland Security. We will post the report on our website for public dissemination.

Please call me with any questions, or your staff may contact Bruce Miller, Deputy Inspector General for Audits, at (202) 981-6000.

Attachment
November 12, 2021

Why We Did This Audit


What We Found

The independent public accounting firm KPMG LLP (KPMG) has issued an unmodified (clean) opinion on DHS’ consolidated financial statements. KPMG noted that the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, DHS’ financial position as of September 30, 2021 and 2020.

KPMG issued an adverse opinion on DHS’ internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2021. KPMG identified material weaknesses in internal control in two areas and significant deficiencies in four areas. KPMG also reported two instances of noncompliance with laws or regulations.

Material Weaknesses in Internal Control

- Information Technology Controls and Information Systems
- Financial Reporting

Significant Deficiencies in Internal Control

- Custodial Activities: Drawbacks and Seized and Forfeited Property
- Grants Management and Other Needs Assistance Programs
- Insurance Liabilities
- Journal Entries

Noncompliance with Laws or Regulations

- Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act of 1982
- Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996

Management’s Response

DHS concurred with all of the recommendations.

For Further Information:
Contact our Office of Public Affairs at (202) 981-6000, or email us at DHS-OIG.OfficePublicAffairs@oig.dhs.gov
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Independent Auditors’ Report

Secretary and Inspector General
U.S. Department of Homeland Security:

Report on the Financial Statements and Internal Control

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related consolidated statements of net cost, changes in net position, and custodial activity, and combined statements of budgetary resources for the years then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements. We also have audited DHS’s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2021, based on criteria established in the Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over financial reporting relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. Management is also responsible for its assessment about the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on DHS’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, in accordance with the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and in accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 21-04, Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 21-04 require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

An audit of financial statements involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors’ judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances. An audit of financial statements also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.
An audit of internal control over financial reporting involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about whether a material weakness exists. The procedures selected depend on the auditors’ judgment, including the assessment of the risks that a material weakness exists. An audit of internal control over financial reporting also includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the assessed risk.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Definition and Inherent Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

An entity’s internal control over financial reporting is a process effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation of reliable financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. An entity’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the entity; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the entity are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and those charged with governance; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention, or timely detection and correction, of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the entity’s assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements. Also, projections of any assessment of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

We did not test all internal controls relevant to operating objectives as broadly defined by the Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act of 1982.

Opinion on Financial Statements

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security as of September 30, 2021 and 2020, and its net costs, changes in net position, budgetary resources, and custodial activity for the years then ended in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements, DHS had intragovernmental debt used to finance the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) of approximately $21 billion as of September 30, 2021 and 2020. The principal and interest payments are financed by the flood premiums from policyholders. Given the current rate structure, DHS will not be able to pay its debt from the premium revenue alone; therefore, DHS does not anticipate repaying the debt. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. Material weaknesses have been identified in the following areas, as described in the accompanying Exhibit I and included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

A. Information Technology Controls and Information Systems
B. Financial Reporting
We considered the material weaknesses identified above in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures applied in our audit of the fiscal year 2021 consolidated financial statements, and these material weaknesses do not affect our opinion on the financial statements.

**Adverse Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weaknesses described in the Basis for Adverse Opinion paragraph above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, DHS has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2021, based on criteria established in *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

**Other Matters**

**Interactive Data**

Management has elected to reference to information on websites or other forms of interactive data outside the *Agency Financial Report* to provide additional information for the users of its consolidated financial statements. Such information is not a required part of the basic consolidated financial statements or supplementary information required by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board. The information on these websites or the other interactive data has not been subjected to any of our auditing procedures, and accordingly we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

**Required Supplementary Information**

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that the information in the Management’s Discussion and Analysis and Required Supplementary Information sections be presented to supplement the basic consolidated financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic consolidated financial statements, is required by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board, which considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic consolidated financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic consolidated financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audits of the basic consolidated financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

**Other Information**

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic consolidated financial statements as a whole. The information in the About this Report, Message from the Secretary, Message from the Chief Financial Officer, Introduction, Other Information, and Acronym List sections, as listed in the Table of Contents of the *Agency Financial Report*, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic consolidated financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic consolidated financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

**Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards**

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in the following areas, as described in Exhibit II, to be significant deficiencies.
C. Custodial Activities: Drawbacks and Seized and Forfeited Property
D. Grants Management and Other Needs Assistance Programs
E. Insurance Liabilities
F. Journal Entries

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the DHS’s consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended September 30, 2021 are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the consolidated financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards or OMB Bulletin No. 21-04 and are described in Exhibit III.

G. Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act of 1982 (FMFIA)

We also performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions referred to in Section 803(a) of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (FFMIA). Providing an opinion on compliance with FFMIA was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances, described in Exhibit III, in which the DHS’s financial management systems did not substantially comply with the Federal financial management systems requirements or applicable Federal accounting standards. The results of our tests disclosed no instances in which the DHS’s financial management systems did not substantially comply with the United States Government Standard General Ledger at the transaction level.

DHS’s Responses to Findings

DHS’s responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in Appendix A. DHS’s responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Purpose of the Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

The purpose of the communication described in the Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards section is solely to describe the deficiencies we consider to be significant deficiencies, and the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

KPMG LLP

Washington, D.C.
November 12, 2021
The weaknesses in internal control existed as of September 30, 2021 and the instances of noncompliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements were identified during the year ended September 30, 2021. The determination of which control deficiencies rise to the level of a material weakness or a significant deficiency is based on an evaluation of the impact of control deficiencies identified in all components, considered individually and in the aggregate, on the DHS consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2021. Component-level deficiencies vary in severity. The associated entity level controls, as defined by the Government Accountability Office’s Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (Green Book), are also identified in relation to the control activities in the corresponding areas of the following Exhibits.

The findings are presented in three Exhibits:

**Exhibit I**  Material Weaknesses. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. DHS has material weaknesses within the following areas:

A. Information Technology Controls and Information Systems  
B. Financial Reporting

**Exhibit II**  Significant Deficiencies. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by DHS management and others in positions of DHS oversight. DHS has significant deficiencies within the following areas:

C. Custodial Activities: Drawbacks and Seized and Forfeited Property  
D. Grants Management and Other Needs Assistance Programs  
E. Insurance Liabilities  
F. Journal Entries

**Exhibit III**  Compliance and Other Matters. The compliance and other matters identified included instances of noncompliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, and other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards or Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 21-04, Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements. DHS has instances of noncompliance as follows:

G. Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act of 1982  
H. Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996

**Criteria**  DHS’s internal control over financial reporting is based on the criteria established by the Green Book.
I-A Information Technology Controls and Information Systems

Background:

Information technology (IT) controls are a critical subset of an entity's internal control. There are two main types of IT controls: IT general controls (ITGCs) and application controls. ITGCs operate over entire or large portions of systems and represent the foundation of an IT control structure. They are applied at the entity-wide, operating system, database, or application level, and include controls over access, segregation of duties, configuration management, and security management. Effective ITGCs are necessary to create the foundation for the operation of application controls. Application controls are those controls that directly relate to specific IT applications and ensure completeness, accuracy, validity, confidentiality, and availability of data. The deficiencies indicated in this Exhibit are representative of ITGC and application control deficiencies at headquarters and various components across DHS including U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Management Directorate (MGMT), Transportation Security Agency (TSA), U.S. Coast Guard (USCG), and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).

Conditions:

DHS did not design and implement the entity’s information systems and related control activities to achieve objectives and respond to risks as required by Green Book principles 11, Design Activities for Information Systems, and 12, Implement Control Activities. Specifically, DHS had:

- Ineffective design, implementation, or operating effectiveness of ITGCs over IT operating systems, databases, and applications supporting financial reporting processes across DHS in the following areas:
  
  **Access control and segregation of duties**
  - User, service, privileged, and generic (including emergency, temporary, developer, and migrator) accounts not properly authorized, recertified, and revoked timely;
  - The principles of least privilege and segregation of duties not applied;
  - Password security not properly configured for data protection and inactivity;
  - Audit logging activity requirements not defined;
  - Audit logs not generated, reviewed, or analyzed;

- Ineffective implementation of policies and procedures prior to system migration.

- Ineffective design, implementation, or operating effectiveness of ITGCs at service organizations.

- Ineffective application controls and manual controls that are dependent upon the information derived from DHS information systems.

DHS continued to have deficiencies in its design and implementation of controls over IT Controls and Information Systems. These deficiencies have persisted since the inception of DHS. As a result, DHS
did not remediate identified internal control deficiencies on a timely basis as required by Green Book principle 17, *Evaluate Issues and Remediate Deficiencies*. Specifically, DHS had:

- Ineffective design and implementation of controls to remediate IT findings, including insufficient corrective actions to address deficiencies that have existed for several years in multiple information systems.

**Causes:**

These deficiencies are a result of the failure of entity level controls, as follows:

Green Book principle 7 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to risks related to achieving the defined objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 7. Specifically:

- DHS did not fully implement a robust risk assessment of ITGCs that is necessary to identify weaknesses, nor did it assess the resulting risks created by IT deficiencies.

- DHS did not resolve the risks created by historic limitations in the functionality of its information systems.

- DHS did not successfully mitigate the risk created by implementing manual controls to compensate for risks resulting from decentralized systems and records management processes or utilities with limited automated capabilities.

Green Book principle 16 requires that “Management should establish and operate monitoring activities to monitor the internal control system and evaluate the results.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 16. Specifically:

- DHS did not effectively implement a formalized process to monitor the third-party service organizations responsible for maintaining the infrastructure support for various IT systems.

- DHS established corrective action plans but had not monitored them to ensure they were tracking the progress toward remediation of deficiencies timely.

**Effects:**

Deficiencies in access controls and segregation of duties increase the risk that current employees, separated employees, or contractors may obtain unauthorized or inappropriate access to information systems or data. Such access could lead to unauthorized activities or inappropriate disclosures of sensitive data. Deficiencies in configuration management increase the risk that unauthorized or inappropriate changes to systems will be applied and go undetected by management, resulting in lower assurance that information systems will operate as intended and that data is reliable, valid, and complete. Deficiencies in security management increase the risk that system vulnerabilities will not be identified and remediated, compromising the reliability and integrity of data and increasing the risk of data loss.

In addition, system limitations contribute to deficiencies in multiple financial process areas across DHS. Many key DHS information systems are not compliant with Federal financial management system requirements as defined by FFMIA, as noted in Exhibit III. These system limitations cause a greater risk of error and result in inconsistent, incomplete, or inaccurate control execution.

Collectively, DHS not performing an appropriate risk assessment to identify and mitigate the ITGC and application control deficiencies limits DHS’s ability to process, store, and report financial data in a manner that ensures accuracy, confidentiality, integrity, and availability. In response to these deficiencies, DHS intended to utilize manual controls; however, these manual controls often were not properly designed or implemented, or did not operate effectively, as reported in Exhibits I and II.
Recommendations:

We recommend that DHS:

1. Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO), in coordination with the Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO), the Office of the Chief Information Security Officer (OCISO), and component IT and financial management, complete a comprehensive risk analysis and develop a mitigation plan to reduce risks related to ITGC and application control deficiencies timely;

2. OCFO, in coordination with the OCIO, the OCISO, and component IT and financial management, design and implement an effective internal control process to address the risk of errors due to IT system functionality issues, the inability to rely on information derived from systems, and the inability to rely on application controls until system deficiencies are remediated;

3. OCISO, the OCIO, and component IT management sufficiently monitor IT vulnerabilities and limitations, and coordinate with the OCFO and component financial management to implement manual controls to mitigate risk;

4. OCFO, in coordination with the OCIO, the OCISO, and component IT and financial management, design and implement an effective internal control process to review service organization risks to ensure that ineffective ITGCs performed by service organizations are evaluated and appropriate compensating controls are designed and implemented by DHS and component management; and

5. OCFO, in coordination with the OCIO, the OCISO, and component IT and financial management, make the necessary improvements to DHS’s ITGC and application controls timely, as detailed in limited distribution Notices of Findings and Recommendations to DHS and component management, and monitor corrective action plans.
I-B Financial Reporting

Background:
Internal control over financial reporting is a process effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel, and is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation of reliable financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Conditions:
DHS did not design control activities to achieve objectives and respond to risks as required by Green Book principle 10, Design Control Activities, or implement the entity’s information systems and related control activities through policies as required by Green Book principles 11, Design Activities for Information Systems, and 12, Implement Control Activities. Specifically, DHS had:

- Ineffective design, implementation, or operating effectiveness of controls supporting financial reporting processes across DHS in the following areas:
  - Service organization control (SOC) reports
    - Service provider risks not addressed by obtaining and effectively reviewing SOC reports, or by assessing the risks when a SOC report does not exist, at multiple components across DHS;
  - Application controls and information derived from systems
    - Manual controls to compensate for application control deficiencies not designed and implemented at multiple components across DHS;
    - Baseline assessments of application controls and information derived from systems with effective ITGCs not performed at CBP and headquarters; and
  - Application of Accounting Standards for New Programs
    - Other liabilities not identified at FEMA.

DHS continued to have deficiencies in its design and implementation of controls over Financial Reporting. DHS did not remediate identified internal control deficiencies on a timely basis as required by Green Book principle 17, Evaluate Issues and Remediate Deficiencies. DHS did not remediate identified control deficiencies that have existed for multiple prior years on a timely basis.

Causes:
These deficiencies are a result of the failure of entity level controls as follows:

- Green Book principle 5 requires that “Management should evaluate performance and hold individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 5. Specifically:
  - DHS did not enforce accountability of personnel responsible for the review of SOC reports.

- Green Book principle 7 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to risks related to achieving the defined objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 7. Specifically:
  - DHS did not fully implement a robust risk assessment of ITGCs to identify weaknesses.
  - DHS did not assess the risks created by IT deficiencies and their impact on other controls.

- Green Book principle 9 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to significant changes that could impact the internal control system.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 9. Specifically:
  - DHS did not analyze changes resulting from new funeral expense reimbursement program.
Green Book principle 13 requires that “Management should use quality information to achieve the entity’s objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 13. Specifically:

- DHS did not process data into quality information that was useable for operating controls effectively.
- DHS did not perform baseline testing of information generated by applications prior to relying on that information as part of a control.

Green Book principle 16 requires that “Management should establish and operate monitoring activities to monitor the internal control system and evaluate the results.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 16. Specifically:

- DHS did not perform continuous monitoring and testing of IT and financial controls for all significant areas.
- DHS did not sufficiently monitor the status of corrective action plans.
- DHS did not monitor the activities and controls performed by service organizations.
- DHS did not monitor the service organization’s controls surrounding the compilation of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) financial statements and the related IT systems used to process insurance policies.
- DHS did not design and implement sufficient procedures to review SOC reports, including identification and assessment of complementary user entity controls.

**Effects:**

The failure to perform continuous monitoring and testing of IT and financial controls results in lack of timely remediation of existing deficiencies and noncompliance with FMFIA, as reported in Exhibit III.

The failure to adequately design, implement, and operate internal controls related to oversight of service organizations increases the risk that misstatements can occur without being prevented, or detected and corrected timely.

The failure to adequately design, implement, and operate internal controls related to the baseline assessment of application controls and information derived from systems prevents management from relying on application controls. It also prevents management from relying on the information derived from systems used to record transactions and perform control activities.

The lack of compensating controls for IT deficiencies also results in noncompliance with Federal financial management system requirements, as defined by FFMIA and reported in Exhibit III.

The failure to adequately assess new programs increases the risk that financial information is not compliant with accounting standards, including insufficient recognition of related liabilities.

**Recommendations:**

We recommend that DHS:

6. provide training and enforce accountability for adherence to policies and procedures and provide the necessary financial reporting oversight;
7. improve the process for identification, analysis, and response over significant changes and updates to risks assessments related to financial reporting, including risks associated with new programs;
8. align knowledgeable individuals to monitor and evaluate the roles of service organizations, assess controls at those service organizations, and identify and assess complementary user entity controls within the components relying on those service organizations; and
9. improve monitoring controls over assessing internal controls and remediating known internal control deficiencies timely.
II-C Custodial Activities: Drawbacks and Seized and Forfeited Property

Background:
The majority of DHS’s custodial collections are from merchandise entering the United States from foreign ports of origin, against which DHS assesses import duties, taxes, and fees.

Drawback claims typically occur when imported goods on which duties, taxes, or fees have been previously paid are subsequently exported from the United States or destroyed. The Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 (TFTEA), implemented in February 2019, contained provisions for drawback modernization that simplified the rules for determining if exports are eligible for drawback refunds, expanded the timeframe for drawback claims, and eliminated some of the documentation requirements. All drawback claims filed after the implementation date are filed under the regulations in Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 190. Drawback claims filed prior to the TFTEA implementation date were filed under the regulations in CFR 191.

DHS is also responsible for reporting seized and forfeited property that is in its custody. DHS seizes various items, including prohibited drugs and counterfeit goods. DHS relies on several of its components to enter case information, including weights and measures, into the seized and forfeited property system.

Conditions:
DHS did not design and implement control activities to achieve objectives and respond to risks as required by Green Book principles 10, Design Control Activities, or implement control activities through policies as required by Green Book principle 12, Implement Control Activities. Specifically, at CBP, DHS had:

• Ineffective design, implementation, or operating effectiveness of controls supporting custodial activities in the following areas:

  Drawbacks:
  - Excessive pre-TFTEA drawback claims not prevented or detected and corrected;
  - Supporting documentation for pre-TFTEA drawback claims not maintained;
  - TFTEA drawback risks not assessed or monitored; and

  Seized and forfeited property:
  - Seized and forfeited asset transactions not recorded properly and timely in the seized and forfeited assets tracking system by CBP and ICE personnel.

Causes:
These deficiencies are a result of the failure of entity level controls as follows:

Green Book principle 4 requires that “Management should demonstrate a commitment to recruit, develop, and retain competent individuals.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 4. Specifically:

• DHS did not sufficiently train individuals to develop competencies for key roles in executing the internal control objectives related to the seized and forfeited property note to the financial statements, including use of the new destruction module in the system of record.

Green Book principle 7 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to risks related to achieving the defined objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 7. Specifically:

• DHS did not analyze and respond to identified risks related to drawback claims submitted prior to the implementation of TFTEA.
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Green Book principle 9 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to significant changes that could impact the internal control system.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 9. Specifically:

- DHS did not identify whether existing drawback controls addressed the identified risks.

Green Book principle 14 requires that “Management should internally communicate the necessary quality information to achieve the entity’s objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 14. Specifically:

- DHS did not communicate quality information down and across reporting lines to enable personnel to perform key roles related to seized and forfeited property.

Green Book principle 15 requires that “Management should externally communicate the necessary quality information to achieve the entity’s objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 15. Specifically:

- DHS did not communicate quality information to claimants and brokers related to the document retention period for drawback claims.

Effects:
Until all CFR 191 drawback claims are processed, DHS’s failure to implement effective controls over the drawback process may subject DHS to financial loss due to excessive drawback claims. In addition, drawback claims are governed by the laws and regulations in effect at the time of filing.

The failure to fully establish and define control activities related to the drawback processes could lead to potential misstatements of payables on the Balance Sheet and drawback disbursements on the Statement of Custodial Activity.

Failure to fully establish and define control activities related to seized and forfeited property may result in misstatements of the Seized and Forfeited Property note to the financial statements.

Recommendations:
We recommend that DHS:

Drawbacks:
10. complete a robust risk assessment related to TFTEA drawback claims;
11. track and monitor the progress made in liquidating legacy drawback claims to support timely liquidation in accordance with the requirements of CFR 191;
12. continue to communicate the appropriate retention period to claimants and brokers; and

Seized and forfeited property:
13. enhance existing controls, including communicating quality information and training individuals for key roles, to prevent and detect material errors in the seized property footnote.
Il-D Grants Management and Other Needs Assistance Programs

Background:
DHS manages multiple Federal disaster and non-disaster grant programs, as well as other needs assistance programs, including programs related to the coronavirus pandemic. In FY 2018, DHS began implementation of the process to standardize all grant management activities, which continued into FY 2021. This included coordination among the grant regional offices and central management as well as among the various grant programs. In order to monitor the spending of the disaster and non-disaster grant funding, DHS performs site visits and monitors Federal Financial Reports submitted by grant recipients. In FY 2020, DHS implemented a new other needs assistance program to provide lost wages assistance to state entities to supplement unemployment benefits related to the coronavirus pandemic. In FY 2021, DHS implemented an other needs assistance program to provide financial assistance for COVID-19 related funeral expenses.

Conditions:
DHS did not design control activities to achieve objectives and respond to risks as required by Green Book principle 10, *Design Control Activities*, or implement control activities through policies as required by Green Book principle 12, *Implement Control Activities*. Specifically, at FEMA, DHS had:

- Ineffective controls over monitoring of grant recipients;
- Ineffective controls over the review of non-disaster grant obligations and deobligations; and
- Ineffective controls over the lost wages accrual calculation.

Causes:
These deficiencies are a result of the failure of entity level controls as follows:

Green Book principle 5 requires that “Management should evaluate performance and hold individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 5. Specifically:

- DHS did not enforce accountability of personnel responsible for monitoring grant recipients and reviewing non-disaster grant obligations and deobligations.

Green Book principle 13 requires that “Management should use quality information to achieve the entity’s objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 13. Specifically:

- DHS failed to use reliable data to calculate the lost wages accrual.

Effects:
The failure to use quality information to implement standardized internal controls within DHS during FY 2021 caused ineffective monitoring of open and closed grants. Ineffective monitoring may result in inaccurate or unauthorized expense reporting by grant recipients and increases the risk that DHS may not identify corrective actions for grant recipients timely. In addition, DHS’s failure to use quality information elevates the risk of inaccurate obligations, including accruals.

Recommendations:
We recommend that DHS:

14. provide training and enforce accountability for adherence to policies and procedures for monitoring grant recipients and reviewing non-disaster grant obligations and deobligations; and
15. enforce policies and procedures to use reliable data in accrual calculations.
II-E Insurance Liabilities

Background:
DHS manages the NFIP, a program to provide flood insurance to policyholders through a network of write-your-own (WYO) insurance companies. These insurance companies provide services on behalf of DHS, including underwriting premium policies and processing claims. In FY 2020, DHS implemented a new information system to aggregate the WYO financial information. DHS used the WYO data, along with NFIP loss and loss adjustment expense factors, to estimate the actuarially derived flood insurance liability for claims incurred but not yet reported as of September 30, 2021.

Conditions:
DHS did not design control activities to achieve objectives and respond to risks as required by Green Book principle 10, Design Control Activities, or implement the related control activities through policies as required by Green Book principle 12, Implement Control Activities. Specifically, at FEMA, DHS had:

- Insufficient design of the controls over the validation of the underlying data used in the valuation of the flood insurance liability; and
- Insufficient design and implementation of the controls over the assumptions, methods, and models used in the valuation of the flood insurance liability.

Causes:
These deficiencies are a result of the failure of entity level controls as follows:

Green Book principle 9 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to significant changes that could impact the internal control system.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 9. Specifically:

- DHS did not properly assess and document the appropriateness of changes to the methods, models, and assumptions for the actuarial insurance liability estimate.

Green Book principle 13 requires that “Management should use quality information to achieve the entity’s objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 13. Specifically:

- DHS failed to properly validate the underlying data used in the actuarial insurance liability estimate.

Effects:
The failure to adequately design, implement, and operate internal controls over the actuarially derived estimate increases the risk that misstatements to insurance liabilities on the Balance Sheet can occur without being prevented, or detected and corrected, timely.

Recommendations:
We recommend that DHS:

16. develop new policies, or improve and reinforce existing policies, procedures, and related internal controls, to ensure that:

a. the underlying data to actuarially derived estimates is reviewed timely;

b. information derived from the systems used in the operations of controls is determined to be complete and accurate;

c. risks related to the methods, models, assumptions, and data elements are assessed each year to identify, plan, and respond to changes; and

d. changes to the methods, models, assumptions, and data elements are sufficiently documented.
II-F Journal Entries

**Background:**
DHS uses numerous different information systems to process financial information. System limitations require DHS to record extensive journal entries to properly present their financial information.

**Conditions:**
DHS did not design control activities to achieve objectives and respond to risks as required by Green Book principle 10, *Design Control Activities*, or implement the entity’s information systems and related control activities through policies as required by Green Book principles 11, *Design Activities for Information Systems*, and 12, *Implement Control Activities*. Specifically, DHS had:

- Recurring manual journal entries not analyzed for completeness at CBP and USCG;
- Manual journal entries not properly reviewed and approved at CBP;
- Manual journal entry amounts not validated with appropriate supporting documentation at USCG; and
- Prior year on-top adjustments affecting beginning balances not sufficiently reviewed for completeness and accuracy at the transaction level within the respective general ledger systems at USCG.

**Causes:**
These deficiencies are a result of the failure of entity level controls as follows:

- Green Book principle 4 requires that “Management should demonstrate a commitment to recruit, develop, and retain competent individuals.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 4. Specifically:
  - DHS did not provide timely training on requirements for supporting documentation to individuals with responsibilities to review journal entries.

- Green Book principle 5 requires that “Management should evaluate performance and hold individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 5. Specifically:
  - DHS did not enforce accountability of personnel responsible for the review of journal entries.

- Green Book principle 7 requires that “Management should identify, analyze, and respond to risks related to achieving the defined objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 7. Specifically:
  - DHS did not identify and respond to the risk posed by not recording prior year on-top adjustments to the appropriate general ledger system.

- Green Book principle 13 requires that “Management should use quality information to achieve the entity’s objectives.” DHS did not effectively implement and operate Green Book principle 13. Specifically:
  - DHS did not identify the appropriate information requirements to support proposed journal entries.

**Effects:**
The failure to adequately design, implement, and operate internal controls over journal entries increases the risk that misstatements can occur without being prevented, or detected and corrected timely.

The failure to provide timely training increases the risk that unsupported or incorrect journal entries will be recorded.

**Recommendations:**
We recommend that DHS:

17. implement controls to ensure all necessary journal entries are completely recorded each period; and
18. develop new policies or improve and reinforce existing policies, procedures, and related internal controls, including providing training, to ensure journal entries are adequately researched, supported, and reviewed before and after recording in the general ledger.
III-G Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act of 1982

FMFIA requires agencies to establish effective internal control and information systems, and to continuously evaluate and assess the effectiveness of their internal control. DHS’s implementation of OMB Circular No. A-123, Management’s Responsibility for Internal Control, facilitates compliance with FMFIA. DHS has implemented a multi-year plan to achieve full assurance on internal control. However, the DHS Secretary’s Assurance Statement, dated November 12, 2021, as presented in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of DHS’s FY 2021 Agency Financial Report, acknowledged the existence of control activities demonstrating material weaknesses, and therefore provided qualified assurance that internal control over financial reporting was operating effectively as of September 30, 2021.

Management’s findings were similar to the control deficiencies described in Exhibits I and II. DHS did not perform continuous monitoring and testing of both IT and financial controls for all significant areas.

While DHS progressed toward compliance with FMFIA, DHS did not fully establish effective systems, processes, policies, and testing procedures to ensure that internal controls were operating effectively throughout DHS. Deficiencies related to monitoring the internal control system are discussed in Exhibit I, Comments I-A, Information Technology Controls and Information Systems, and I-B, Financial Reporting.

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHS:

19. continue its corrective actions to address internal control deficiencies in order to ensure compliance with FMFIA, and implement the recommendations provided in Exhibits I and II.
III-H  Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996

FFMIA Section 803(a) requires that agency Federal financial management systems comply with: (1) applicable Federal accounting standards; (2) Federal financial management system requirements; and (3) the USSGL at the transaction level. FFMIA emphasizes the need for agencies to have systems that can generate timely, reliable, and useful information with which to make informed decisions to ensure ongoing accountability.

As of September 30, 2021, DHS’s financial management systems did not comply substantially with Federal financial management system requirements, as described in Exhibit I, Comment I-A, Information Technology Controls and Information Systems, and compliance with Federal accounting standards, as described in Exhibit I, Comment I-B, Financial Reporting. The DHS Secretary stated in the Secretary’s Assurance Statement, dated November 12, 2021, that DHS’s financial management systems did not substantially conform to government-wide requirements mandated by FFMIA. DHS’s remedial actions and related timeframes are also presented in Table 3 of Management’s Discussion and Analysis in the FY 2021 Agency Financial Report.

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHS:

20. improve its financial management systems to ensure compliance with FFMIA, and implement the recommendations provided in Exhibit I.
Appendix A
Management Comments to the Draft Report

November 12, 2021

Memorandum for: The Honorable Joseph V. Cuffari
Inspector General

FROM: Stacy Marcott
Acting Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2021 Financial Statement and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Audit

Thank you for your audit report on the Department’s Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting for Fiscal Years (FY) 2021 and 2020. We agree with the Independent Public Accountant’s conclusion. We are pleased to have earned an unmodified (clean) opinion on our consolidated financial statements for the ninth consecutive year.

During FY 2021, the Department faced significant challenges as we continued to develop and implement new assistance programs to address the unprecedented challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic and the associated economic uncertainty. During FY 2021, the Department received over $50 billion of additional funding provided by the American Rescue Plan Act and other relief legislation to support the national response to the pandemic. Despite these challenges, we continued to make progress on financial reporting and internal controls to ensure that we carry on the Department’s mission while safeguarding the resources entrusted to the Department.

The Department has seen steady improvements in our financial management processes and controls throughout FY 2021 as noted in the auditors’ report. Specifically, in FY 2021 DHS improved our Journal Entries processes so that it is no longer considered an area of material weakness. We are focused on improving information technology controls and have put in place an aggressive strategy to modernize our financial systems. In October of 2020, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) successfully went live on our new Financial Systems Modernization Solution and the United States Coast Guard (USCG) is on schedule for migration to the same platform in late 2021.

The Department is committed to strengthening our controls and remediating remaining challenges using a multi-year, risk-based approach. I look forward to our continued partnership and collaboration with the Office of the Inspector General and the Independent Public Accountant in the years ahead.
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